

You may use the information and images contained in this document for non-commercial, personal, or educational purposes only, provided that you (1) do not modify such information and (2) include proper citation. If material is used for other purposes, you must obtain written permission from the author(s) to use the copyrighted material prior to its use.

CHARACTERIZATION OF WATER QUALITY AND ASSOCIATED FACTORS IN NATURAL AND CREATED WETLANDS OF THE TEXAS COAST

Natasha Zarnstorff and George Guillen

University of Houston-Clear Lake and the Environmental Institute of Houston



Introduction

- ▶ Coastal wetlands are considered one of the most productive ecosystems
- ▶ Make up less than 5% of terrestrial land mass
- ▶ Provide nursery habitat
- ▶ Stabilize sediment
- ▶ Reduce coastal erosion and wave impacts
- ▶ Trap sediment
 - ▶ Nutrients, chemicals, and toxicants



Threats and Loss

- ▶ 70-75% of worlds population lives within the coast region
- ▶ Conflict between wetlands and development
- ▶ Gulf of Mexico has most notable loss of wetland habitat in United States
- ▶ Galveston Bay has lost 21% of wetland habitat since 1950's
- ▶ Most losses can be traced back to human impacts
 - ▶ Agriculture
 - ▶ Population pressure
 - ▶ Pollution
 - ▶ Water flow reduction
 - ▶ Habitat fragmentation
 - ▶ Subsidence



Created vs. Natural Marshes

- ▶ Section 404 of Clean Waters Act requires mitigation for wetlands that are destroyed for development
- ▶ Uncertainty regarding the function of created marshes in comparison with natural marshes
- ▶ No studies comparing water quality between created and natural salt marshes
 - ▶ Saltmarshes tend to be a sink for nutrients and sediment
- ▶ Soil chemistry studies have shown that natural marshes have higher carbon and nitrogen compared to created marshes (Lindau and Hossner 1981)
- ▶ Nekton studies have shown that created marshes have lower abundance and diversity than natural marshes (Minello and Zimmerman 1992)



Significance


- ▶ The EPA wants to develop water quality standards for wetlands
- ▶ There are no studies comparing created vs natural saltmarshes

Objectives

- ▶ Gather ambient water quality data of coastal wetlands to help fill knowledge gaps and have background data to help develop water quality standards
- ▶ Compare water quality between natural and created saltmarshes to determine if they are functioning differently
- ▶ Determine if there are difference in modifying characteristics to determine if our study sites follow previous studies in marsh development

Site Selection

- ▶ Surface water quality of 3 marsh types within Galveston Bay
 - ▶ 2 created saltmarshes
 - ▶ West Created-2001
 - ▶ Galveston Created-1994
 - ▶ 2 natural saltmarshes
 - ▶ 1 freshwater marsh




Methods


- ▶ 6 water sampling events from Nov. 2013 to Oct. 2014
 - ▶ YSI multiparameter meter – Temp, Sal, pH, DO.
 - ▶ Collected in-situ samples
 - ▶ NO_3 , NO_2 , TN, NH_3 , & TP
 - ▶ Analysis completed using a Hach DR/890 colorimeter
- ▶ Soil samples were collected in June 2014 and November 2014
 - ▶ Analyzed by Texas A&M Soil Water Forage Lab using ICP and Nitric Acid Digestion
- ▶ Nekton collected via straight seine in June 2014 and October 2014
- ▶ Statistical analysis – Kurskal–Wallis($\alpha = 0.05$)
 - ▶ Dunn's multiple comparison test
- ▶ NMDS of fish species abundance and Analysis of Similarity




Water Quality




Water Quality



Soil Nutrients



Fish Assemblage - NMDS



Discussion

- ▶ Significant differences in water NO_3 and NO_2 between created and natural saltmarshes may be attributed to development of nitrogen cycle.
- ▶ Significantly higher water TN and TP values in freshwater marshes may be due to increased total suspended solids and larger amounts of decaying detritus, from river discharge and excess organic waste from nesting birds.
- ▶ Significantly higher soil nutrients at the freshwater sites may be due to a higher percent of organic material found at these sites in comparison to saltwater marshes, though more analysis is needed.
- ▶ Although not statistically significant there is lower carbon and nitrogen in the created marsh similar to reported values in previous studies (Craft et al. 1988)
- ▶ Significant differences in fish assemblages between marsh types follows expectations based on previous studies and observed salinities. (Minello and Zimmerman 1992)

Conclusions

- ▶ Differences in water quality and soil nutrient levels between constructed and natural wetlands should be considered in future restoration projects.
- ▶ Further study is needed to determine difference in nitrogen cycling between created and natural marshes.
- ▶ Statistical analyses are ongoing, including evaluation of additional water quality parameters and influence of possible contributing factors.



Questions?



Works Cited

- ▶ Craft, C., et al. (1988). "Nitrogen, phosphorus and organic carbon pools in natural and transplanted marsh soils." Estuaries 11(4): 272-280.
- ▶ Craft, C., et al. (1999). "TWENTY-FIVE YEARS OF ECOSYSTEM DEVELOPMENT OF CONSTRUCTED SPARTINA ALTERNIFLORA (LOISEL) MARSHES." Ecological Applications 9(4): 1405-1419.
- ▶ Minello, T. J. and R. J. Zimmerman (1992). "Utilization of natural and transplanted Texas salt marshes by fish and decapod crustaceans."
- ▶ Minello, T. J. and L. P. Rozas (2002). "Nekton in Gulf Coast Wetlands: Fine-Scale Distributions, Landscape Patterns, and Restoration Implications." Ecological Applications 12(2): 441-455.
- ▶ Odum, E. P. (1979). "The value of wetlands: a hierarchical approach." Wetland functions and values: the state of our understanding: 1-25.
- ▶ Turner, R. E. (1977). "Intertidal vegetation and commercial yields of penaeid shrimp." Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 106(5): 411-416.
- ▶ Broome, S. W., et al. (1986). "Long-term growth and development of transplants of the salt-marsh grass *Spartina alterniflora*." Estuaries 9(1): 63-74.
- ▶ Mitsch, W. and J. Gosselink (1993). "Wetlands Van Nostrand Reinhold." New York 722.
- ▶ Short, F. T. and S. Wyllie-Echeverria (1996). "Natural and human-induced disturbance of seagrasses." Environmental Conservation 23(01): 17-27.
- ▶ Gleason, M. L., et al. (1979). "Effects of stem density upon sediment retention by salt marsh cord grass, *Spartina alterniflora* Loisel." Estuaries 2(4): 271-273.
- ▶ Lindau, C. and L. Hossner (1981). "Substrate characterization of an experimental marsh and three natural marshes." Soil Science Society of America Journal 45(6): 1171-1176.