You may use the information and images contained in this document for non-commercial, personal, or educational purposes only, provided that you (1) do not modify such information and (2) include proper citation. If material is used for other purposes, you must obtain written permission from the author(s) to use the copyrighted material prior to its use.

Growth and Reproduction of the Saltmarsh Topminnow (*Fundulus jenkinsi*)



Josi Robertson¹, Stephen Curtis², Jenny Oakley², and George Guillen^{1,2}



¹University of Houston-Clear Lake, School of Science and Computer Engineering

² Environmental Institute of Houston



Habitat

- Saltmarshes along the Gulf of Mexico from FL to TX
- Low to moderate salinities
- Link between saltmarsh vegetation and Fundulus jenkinsi occurrences⁴
- Utilize edge of saltmarsh habitat 5



Conservation Status

Listed as a species of concern in LA, MS, AL, and FL

- Petition to list species as threatened or endangered under the Endangered Species Act issued in 2011
 - USFWS commissioned to review species' status and make a determination



Significance of Study

Lack of information about the minnow's population characteristics

Need to obtain more complete and comprehensive data on life history characteristics for future effective management



Study Objectives

- Assess distribution and abundance of the Fundulus jenkinsi in Galveston Bay and Sabine Lake, TX
- Identify factors attributing to F. jenkinsi's presence between sites
 - Estimate demographic parameters of population
 - Size, age, sex distribution
 - Growth
 - Reproduction



Location of Study

- Monthly sampling in Moses Bayou
- Site Characteristics
 Freshwater inflow
 - Tidally influenced
 - Saltmarsh vegetation
- Sampling Method
 - Straight seine
 - Breder traps



Reproductive Analysis



- Specimens measured in lab
 - Standard length
 - Total weight
- Sex determined
 - Gonads Extracted
 - Gonadosomatic indexs (GSI) calculated for each individual
 - GSI = (Gonad weight/ Total Body weight)*100

Ovary Stage Analysis

- Ovary stages further examined and classified (Lopez et al. 2010)
 - A.) Latent / Immature
 - B.) Early maturing
 - C.) Late maturing
 - D.) Mature
 - E.) Ripe











Results - Standard Length Distributions

No significant difference between standard length distribution and season (H= 4.82; p= 0.090)



Results - Modal Progression Analysis

- Significant difference in standard length distributions across months (*H*= 143.3; *p*< 0.001)
- Bi-modal distribution
- Computed standard length means
 - November 2014
 - Age 1- 21 mm (±3.5 SD)
 - Age 2- 35 mm (±4.0 SD)
 - February 2015
 - Age 1- 23 mm (±1.6 SD)
 - Age 2- 34 mm (±7.3 SD)





Results - Length & Weight

- Standard lengths and body weight did not significantly differ between genders
 - Standard length (U= 624.2; p = 0.195)
 - Body weight (U= 5369.5; p = 0.176)
- Positive non-linear correlation between standard length and body weight (R²=0.9872)



Results - GSI

- Females had significantly larger GSI values (t(77)=5.39; p<0.001)</p>
- Mean GSIs significantly differ between months
 - Females (F= 38.58; p<0.001)</p>
 - Males (F= 13.11; p<0.001)</p>



Results - GSI

- Female standard length showed a significant correlation to GSI value
- Male standard length was not significantly correlated to GSI value
- Body weight not significantly correlated to GSI value
 - Females (p= 0.085)
 - Males (*p*= 0.108)



Results - Ovarian Stage Analysis



Conclusions

Evidence of at least two distinct age classes

No sexual dimorphism in relation to size

Greater reproductive activity in spring and summer months

Larger females correlated to larger GSI values

Evidence of seasonal progression in ovary maturation

Future Studies

- Mark-Recapture
- Oocyte and spermatocyte histological analysis during high water events
- Fecundity counts



Acknowledgements



Questions?

