COE Online Form (default)

University of Houston **Z** Clear Lake

Overall, this course was a valuable learning experience

COUN 6033.01 (#23702)

Online Evaluations

Class Information

Class:

We are counting on you for some important feedback—your opinions of this on-line course. This survey will help to improve the quality of Web-based courses offered by the University of Houston—Clear Lake. Please answer all questions by clicking on the appropriate radio button that corresponds with your level of agreement with the statement. For the last question, please share your opinions in short answer form. This data is aggregated automatically. Please answer honestly. Your answers will remain anonymous. Your instructor will not be able to identify which answers came from which student.

Instructor:	Lawing, Robert				
Evaluation Questions					
KNOWLEDGE	VERY POOR	POOR	MED-IUM	GOOD	VERY GOOD
Instructor provided a well-organized course	0	0	0	0	0
Instructor provided well-prepared materials	0	0	0	0	0
Instructor addressed questions effectively	0	0	0	0	0
Instructor provided a comprehensive course syllabu	is O	0	0	0	0
Instructor provided purposeful course objectives th consistent	at were	0	0	0	0
Instructor clearly explained the course requirements	· O	0	0	0	0
Instructor provided assignments consistent with obj	ectives	0	0	0	0

0

0

INSTRUCTIONAL STRATEGIES	VERY POOR	POOR	MED-IUM	GOOD	VERY GOOD
Instructor used technology/instructional aids effectively	0	0	0	0	0
Instructor provided current and relevant materials	0	0	0	0	0
Instructor provided clear explanations of course content	0	0	0	0	0
Instructor used methods relevant to course objectives	0	0	0	0	0
Instructor provided materials in a stimulating way	0	0	0	0	0
Instructor appreciated the value of humor in instruction	0	0	0	0	0
Instructor created supportive learning environment	0	0	0	0	0
Instructor encouraged student participation	0	0	0	0	0
Overall, instruction of course was relevant to course objectives	0	0	0	0	0
EVALUATION/ASSESSMENT TECHNIQUES	VERY POOR	POOR	MED- IUM	GOOD	VERY GOOD
Instructor was accessible to students	0	0	0	0	0
Instructor provided clear grading criteria	0	0	0	0	0
Instructor encouraged student improvement via challenging experiences	0	0	0	0	0
Instructor used a variety of assessment techniques	0	0	0	0	0
Overall, instructor was fair in evaluating my progress	0	0	0	0	0

	1-VERY EASY	2-	3-MEDIUM	4-	5-VERY HARD
COURSE DIFFICULTY	0	0	0	0	0
	1-VERY LIGHT	2-	3-MEDIUM	4-	5-VERY HEAVY
COURSE WORKLOAD	0	0	0	0	0
	1-TOO SLOW	2-	3-RIGHT	4-	5-TOO FAST
COURSE PACE	0	0	0	0	0
	1-0 TO 2	2-2 TO 5	3-6 TO 7	4-8 TO 12	5-OVER 12
OUTSIDE HRS/WK	0	0	0	0	0
	1-VERY LOW	2-	3-MEDIUM	4-	5-VERY HIGH
PRIOR INTEREST	0	0	0	0	0
	1-BELOW 2.5	2-2.5 - 3.0	3-3.0 - 3.4	4-3.4 - 3.7	5-ABOVE 3.7
OVERALL G.P.A.	0	0	0	0	0

	1-F	2-D	3-C	4-B	5-A
EXPECTED GRADE	0	0	0	0	0
	1-MAJ REQRD	2-MAJ ELECT	3-CERT REQRD	4-MIN/RELTD	5-GEN INTRST
REASON IN CLASS	0	0	0	0	0
	1-JUNIOR	2-SENIOR	3-GRADUATE		
YEAR IN SCHOOL	0	0	0		
COMMENTS					
What other comments do you have about your course experience. Please include what aspects of the course worked best and what		course would vo	ou change.		
			va onango.		