University of Houston Clear Lake 18 Characteristics of Texas Public Doctoral Programs Doctor of Education in Educational Leadership December 15, 2016¹

_	Number of Degrees Per Year	15.5
1	Rolling three-year average of the number of degrees awarded per academic year.	
	•	
	Graduation Rates	35.9

2	Rolling three-year average of the percent of first-year doctoral students who gradu years.	ated within ten

	Average Time to Degree	3.4 yrs
3	Rolling three-year average of the registered time to degree of first-year doctoral sto year period.	ıdents within a ten

4	Employment Profile	
	Percentage of the last three years of graduates employed in academia, post-doctor industry/professional, government, and those still seeking employment (in Texas an	
	Academia	100
	Post-doctorates	N/A
	Industry/Professional	N/A
	Government	N/A
	Unknown	N/A

	Admissions Criteria
5	Criteria can be found at <u>http://prtl.uhcl.edu/portal/page/portal/SOE/Programs/EDD/Admission/</u> .

	Percentage Full-time Students (FTS) with Institutional Financial Support	50.9 ²
6	In the prior year, the number of FTS (\geq 18 SCH) with at least \$1,000 support/the nu	mber of FTS.

	Average Financial Support Provided	\$11,127 ²
7	For those receiving financial support, the average financial support provided per fu student (including tuition rebate) for the prior year, including research assistantship assistantships, fellowships, tuition, benefits, etc. that is "out-of-pocket."	

	Student-Core Faculty Ratio	5.54 ¹
8	Rolling three-year average of full-time student equivalent (FTSE)/rolling three-year faculty equivalent (FTFE) of core faculty.	average of full-time

	Core Faculty Publications	1.89 ³
9	Rolling three-year average of the number of discipline-related refereed papers/pub creative/performance accomplishments, book chapters, notices of discoveries filed/ books per year per core faculty member.	

	Core Faculty External Grants			
10	Rolling three-year average of the number of core faculty receiving external funds, average grant \$ per faculty, and total external grant \$ per program per academic year.			
	Average of the number of core faculty receiving external funds.	1.85 ³		
	Total external grant \$ per program.	\$584,974		
	Average external grant \$ per faculty.	\$316,202		

	Percentage Full-Time Students	32.3
11	Rolling three-year average of the FTS (\geq 9 SCH)/number of students enrolled (headc fall semesters.	ount) for last three

	Number of Core Faculty	16
12	Number of core faculty in the prior year.	

	Faculty Teaching Load	5.54
13	Total number of semester credit hours in organized teaching courses taught per acc faculty divided by the number of core faculty in the prior year.	idemic year by core

	Faculty Diversity		
	Core faculty by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Other) and gender, updated when changed.		
14		Male	Female
	White	3	8
	Black	1	
	Hispanic	1	2
	Other		

Student Diversity		
Enrollment headcount by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Other) and gender in program in the prior year.		
	Male	Female
White	27	58
Black	7	30
Hispanic	9	26
Other	5	9
	Enrollment headcount by ethnicity (White, Blac year. White Black Hispanic	Enrollment headcount by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Other) and gender in pro- year. White 27 Black 7 Hispanic 9

	Date of Last External Review	2006
16	Date of last formal external review, updated when changed.	
	The program was reviewed by the Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board in July,	
	2006.	

17External Program AccreditationName of body and date of last program accreditation review, if applicable, updated when changed.The University is accredited through the Southern Association of Schools and Colleges who
last visited the campus in November, 2007, to review the EdD in Educational Leadership
program. The University was last visited for SACS accreditation in April, 2012.

	Student Publications/Presentations	.46
18	Rolling three-year average of the number of discipline-related refereed papers/publ creative/performance accomplishments, book chapters, books, and external presen per student.	

Notes: ¹Data generally are for academic years, 2013-14, 2014-2015 and 2015-2016.

²Because the program has a large majority of current practitioners, these figures are for all students, not just full-time students.

³Data are for Calendar Year 2013, 2014 and 2015.