

Reviewer Name: _____

Protocol #: _____

FACULTY RESEARCH AND SUPPORT FUNDS PROPOSAL REVIEW

Principal Investigator (PI) Name: _____

PI College: _____

Co-PI(s), if any: _____

Project Title: _____

Note that if you assign a rating of + or – in a given category, you must provide comments explaining the rating. You may also provide comments if you assign a ✓ rating, but it is not required. Use the space at the bottom of the form to provide any additional comments about the proposal.

Review Criteria	Rating				Notes/Comments ¹
	-	✓	+	N/A	
Purpose and Significance: Does the project meet the stated purpose ² of the FRSF?					
Significance and Timeliness: Does the applicant make the case for the importance and urgency of the work?					
Creation of New Knowledge or Artistic Works: How will the project contribute to the advancement of a discipline or extend its practical applications?					
Hypothesis and Methods: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Is there a clear hypothesis? • Are the methods and plan clear and complete? • Is the project timeline realistic? • Is the expected impact articulated in the proposal? 					
Dissemination Plan: Is there a plan to disseminate the results in an impactful way?					
Future Funding: Is there a realistic plan to leverage the results into an externally funded grant or contract?					
Results of Prior FRSF Support: If the PI and/or Co-PI(s) have had previous FRSF funding ³ , have the results been published or presented?					

Additional Comments: _____

¹ Required for ratings of + or -.

² "FRSF funds will be used to support research, creative, and scholarly professional development activities by UHCL faculty."

³ See the FRSF proposal summary spreadsheet for information about previous awards to members of the project team.